

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/791,007 03/01/2004 Paul Tyrell 200310624-1 1269 EXAMINER 22879 7590 03/21/2006 **HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY** FAISON, VERONICA F P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 1755

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			>,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 0
Office Action Summary	10/791,007	TYRELL, PAUL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Veronica F. Faison	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory perions of the provision o	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION.  Ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this commun  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	December 2005.		
, <del></del>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· ·		its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			*
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the c	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,007

Art Unit: 1755

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rehman (US Patent 6,177,498) in view of Kasperchik et al (US Patent 6,270,201).

Rehman teach a solvent system has been found which aids in start-up, drop ejection, decap and high frequency firing above 10 kHz for inks that comprise latex polymers. Two solvents 3-hexyne-2,5-diol and 1,2-octanediol in combination improve printability in latex polymer-containing ink jet ink which comprise one or more pigments and a vehicle comprising at least one organic, water-soluble solvent and water (abstract). The reference further teaches black inks comprising a pigment and a vehicle, wherein the about 5 to 50 percent by weight of a water-soluble organic solvent, about 0.05 to 10 percent by weight of a pigment, about 0.005 to 50 percent of durable latex polymer and water, in addition to the ester or diol/triol additive (col. 3 lines 26-37). The reference also teaches that the colorant may be self-dispersing pigment. The organic groups attached to the colorant that make the pigment self-dispersing include sulfonic acid, phosphonic acid, carboxylic acid, ammonium, quaternary ammonium or phosphonium group (col. 3 lines 39-56). The method for modifying pigments to be self-

Art Unit: 1755

dispersing is treatment of a carbon black pigment with aryl diazonium salts comprising at least one acidic functional group, wherein the aryl diazonium salts include 4-aminobenzoic acid (col. 3 lines 63+). The vehicle of the ink composition comprises one or more co-solvents and water. The reference teaches that the preferred solvents include 1,5-pentanediol, 1,3,5-(2-methyl)-pentanetriol, and 3-methoxy-3-methylbutanol (col. 5 line 60-col. 6 line 21). The reference further teaches that additives such as potassium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, and triethanolamine amine may be present in the ink composition (col. 6 lines 20-36). The reference fails to teach the specific firing frequency of 15 to 25 kHz as claimed by Applicant.

Kasperchik et al teach a low-cost printing system including a printhead and an ink composition. The ink composition includes an additive that prevents decal form occurring during sustained high-frequency printing bursts (abstract). The reference further teaches that the system may operate at high operating frequency in the range of 2.25 to 18 kHz (col. 10 line 58-col. 11 line 8).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fire the ink composition of Rehman at the firing frequencies taught by Kasperchik et al because Kasperchik et al discloses that ink composition may be fired at ranges in Applicant's claimed range.

Claims 21 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehman (US Patent 6,177,498) in view of Kasperchik et al (US 2005/0137282) in view of Komatsu et al (US Patent 6,379,443).

Rehman and Kasperchik et al are described above, but fails to teach ammonium salt.

Komatsu et al teach an ink composition comprising additive such as amines including triethanolamine and inorganic salts such as potassium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, ammonium hydroxide, and quaternary ammonium hydroxide including tetramethylammonium.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced potassium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide with ammonium hydroxide, and quaternary ammonium hydroxide including tetramethylammonium because the substitution of art recognized equivalents as shown by Komatsu et al would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

A prima facie case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarities and similar utilities. "An obviousness rejection based on similarity in chemical structure and function entails the motivation of one skilled in the art to make a claimed compound, in the expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties." In re Payne, 606 F.2d 303, 313, 203 USPQ 245, 254 (CCPA1979).

Compounds which are position isomers (compounds having the same radicals in physically different positions on the same nucleus) or homologs (compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical group, e.g., by -CH2- groups)

Art Unit: 1755

are generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties. In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). See also In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 197 USPQ 601 (CCPA 1978).

Claims 2, 12, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehman (US Patent 6,177,498) in view of Kasperchik et al (US 2005/0137282) as applied to claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13-21, and 23-27 above, and further in view of Belmont (US Patent 5,571,311).

Rehman and Kasperchik et al are described above, but fails to teach the pigment particle size.

Belmont teaches an aqueous ink jet ink composition comprising a carbon black product that is being treated with diazonium salts (abstract and col. 4 lines 36+). The reference further teaches in the examples particles sizes that overlap Applicant's claimed range, so not to clog the printhead nozzle (col. 2 lines 23-37).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the carbon black particle size as taught by Belmont in the ink composition of Rehman so that the carbon black particle do not clog the print nozzles.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/791,007

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VFF 3-8-06

